Description:

Recruitment and Testing: To provide agencies with registers to hire quality employees in a timely, accurate, and effective manner. Registers will be developed using valid, efficient, and up-to-date testing methods that will rank candidates based on their potential to perform state jobs.

Classification: Provide a flexible, streamlined classification structure that is reflective of agencies' responsibilities and enhances their ability to achieve their mission.

Compensation: To direct a compensation system that attracts, retains, and recognizes the performance of employees with pay practices that are consistent, yet flexible.

Consultation and Training: To enhance the quality of Idaho State workforce by providing performance consultation and supervisory/managerial training opportunities.

Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- Maintain a personnel recordkeeping system to facilitate routine employee personnel actions, improve speed and quality of applicant certification process, and provide accurate personnel information reports.
 - A. Job applications received.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
30,085	18,155	28,000	23,368	
	Projecte	d Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
26,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	

B. Names placed on registers.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
9,225	11,106	8,750	8,750
	Projecte	d Results	
2000	2001	2002	2003
8,250	8,250	8,000	10,000

C. Number of register requests.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
2,520	2,632	2,000	2,000	
	Projecto	ed Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
1,900	1,900	1,800	2,500	

D. Number of applicants certified.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
30,086	31,880	38,000	36,000	
	Projecte	d Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
35,000	35,000	34,000	35,000	

E. EIS (Employees Information System) forms processed.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
19,753	22,042	50,190	39,007	
	Projecte	ed Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
42,000	42,000	42,000	42,000	

F. Current PE (Performance Evaluation) forms added.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
	12,270	13,819	13,461	
	Projecte	ed Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	

- 2. Conduct job analysis, develop job-related selection services, or review existing tests as required by professional testing standards and EEO requirements for all classifications.
 - A. Written exams developed or revised.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
12	12	17	3	
	Projecte	ed Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
25	25	10	5	

B. Oral exams prepared.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
131	131	128	38
	Projected	d Results	
2000	2001	2002	2003
100	100	130	75

C. Education and experience ratings developed.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
270	270	232	292	
	Projected	d Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
200	200	250	300	

- 3. Review and revise instructional materials to agency supervisors or board members and candidates.
 - A. Number of job announcements prepared.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
787	787	904	870	
	Projected	d Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
675	650	700	700	

B. Number of examinations administered.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
13,298	13,298	8,626	10,822
	Projected	Results	
2000	2001	2002	2003
11,000	10,500	10,000	10,000

- 4. Maintain and improve the classification and compensation system.
 - A. New job classes established.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
59	38	19	43	
	Projecte	d Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
20	20	20	25	

B. Qualification statements.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
349	374	301	256		
	Projected	d Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
300	300	300	250		

C. Job classes revised.

Actual Results						
1996	1997	1998	1999			
259	294	176	140			
	Projecte	d Results				
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003					
225	225	200	175			

D. Position audits.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
999	1,696	888	1,702		
	Projected	d Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
900	900	900	900		

E. Classifications deleted.

	Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999		
71	71	100	155		
	Projected	d Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
50	50	50	30		

5. Develop Affirmative Action Plans.

A. Affirmative Action Plans.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
2	1	0	2		
	Projected	Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
2	2	2	2		

6. Maintain capability to assist agencies with grievances and appeals.

A. Number of appeals filed.

	Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999		
14	19	19	36		
	Projected	d Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
35	40	40	40		

7. Ensure internal equity of state jobs through Hay Job Evaluation System.

A. Classifications evaluated using job evaluation system.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
26	79	19	19		
	Projected	d Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
50	50	50	50		

- 8. Determine competitive labor market average rates through salary surveys. Develop salary recommendations for state employees and provide to Governor and Legislature each year.
 - A. Salary surveys conducted.

Actual Results						
1996	1997	1998	1999			
2	2	0	2			
	Projected	d Results				
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003					
2	2	2	2			

- 9. Performance Consultation: Organizational development projects and facilitation of intervention efforts.
 - A. Number of projects.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
3	5	8	5		
	Projected	d Results			
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003				
3	5	5	5		

B. Number of interventions.

Actual Results						
1996	1997	1998	1999			
	2	6	5			
	Projected	d Results				
2000	2000 2001 2002 2003					
3	5	5	5			

C. Number of total hours.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
	50	90	100	
	Projected	d Results		
2000 2001 2002 2003				
50	100	100	100	

- 10. Professional Development Opportunities: course delivery, course coordination, and management development plan.
 - A. Number of courses.

Actual Results				
1996	1997	1998	1999	
23	60	81	79	
	Projecte	d Results		
2000	2001	2002	2003	
85	90	90	90	

B. Number of student hours.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
14,000	12,000	12,000	11,875		
Projected Results					
2000	2001	2002	2003		
16,000	16,500	16,500	16,500		

C. Number of coordinated courses.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
3	7	13	9		
Projected Results					
2000	2001	2002	2003		
15	15	15	15		

D. Level of satisfaction.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
		90%@4.2	90%@4.2		
Projected Results					
2000	2001	2002	2003		
90%@4.5	90%@4.5	90%@4.5	90%@4.5		

11. Technical Assistance: resource information and knowledge/methodology information.

A. Number of requests.

Actual Results					
1996	1997	1998	1999		
	30	22	35		
Projected Results					
2000	2001	2002	2003		
35	35	35	35		

Program Results and Effect:

Reducing the total number of announcements will allow staff to spend more time insuring exams used are predictive of applicant success on the job. Fewer announcements allow us to develop registers faster and provide better service to the agencies without growth in our staff. The faster this work can be accomplished the more likely our most qualified group of candidates will still be available.

New test methods allow us to test for more behaviorally oriented items which in many instances seem to be more important to success on the job than some of the skills an applicant possesses.

Succession plans will help agencies reduce the amount of time vacancies may exist and help them plan the domino effect they frequently experience when someone retires from their staff. Continual process improvement allows us to use technology to work smarter and not just harder.

Classification meetings allow IPC and state agencies to discuss individual agency classification needs and plan together for each years projects. This insures we can meet individual agency organizational needs as well as maintain the currency of general classifications. These meetings are also used as a forum for discussing changes to simplify processes and procedures.

Position audits insure employees are properly classified and paid. In the event of a vacancy, the position is properly classified for recruitment purposes.

Reduction in the number of classifications provides for a more flexible structure for agencies. Agencies have more flexibility to transfer employees, and fewer positions to announce and test for which will expedite the hiring process.

The job evaluation system ensures fairness in the assignment of state jobs to pay grades in the compensation schedule.

Accurate information concerning competitive labor market average rates of pay will help state agencies attract and retain a quality workforce. These employees deliver services to all of the people of the State of Idaho.

Compensation information can help agencies make informed decisions regarding state employee pay, in support of the mission of the agency.

For more information contact Connie Pratt at 334-3346.

^{*}increased productivity and quality effort from workforce

^{*}increased opportunity for state leadership development

^{*}enhanced supervisory practices